	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/792,276	KASHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marcia A. Golub	2828
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Marcia A. Golub</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey Karceski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 August 2006	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
по		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The applicant's representative confirmed that the application was abandoned by the applicants		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ		
PRIMARY EXAMINER	/A II D D	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – it appropriate)